arXiv:1408.0691v1 [math.AC] 4 Aug 2014

THE CATEGORY OF MAXIMAL COHEN–MACAULAY MODULES AS A RING WITH SEVERAL OBJECTS

HENRIK HOLM

ABSTRACT. Over a commutative local Cohen–Macaulay ring, we view and study the category of maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules as a ring with several objects. We compute the global dimension of this category and thereby extend a result of Leuschke to the case where the ring has arbitrary (as opposed to finite) CM-type.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be a commutative local Cohen–Macaulay ring with Krull dimension d. Suppose that R has *finite CM-type*; this means that, up to isomorphism, R admits only finitely many indecomposable maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules $X_1,\dots,X_n$. In this case, the category MCM of maximal Cohen–Macaulay R-modules has a *representation generator*, i.e. a module $X \in \mathsf{MCM}$ that contains as direct summands all indecomposable maximal Cohen–Macaulay R-modules (for example, $X = X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n$ would be such a module). A result by Leuschke [11, Thm. 6] shows that the endomorphism ring $E = \operatorname{End}_R(X)$ has finite global dimension $\leqslant \max\{2,d\}$, and that equality holds if $d \geqslant 2$. This short paper is motivated by Leuschke's result.

If R does not have finite CM-type, then MCM has no representation generator and there is *a priori* no endomorphism ring E to consider. However, regardless of CM-type, one can always view the entire category MCM as a "ring with several objects" and then study its (finitely presented) left/right "modules", i.e. covariant/contravariant additive functors from MCM to abelian groups. The category $\mathsf{MCM}\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$ of finitely presented left modules over the "several object ring" MCM is the natural object to study in the general case. Indeed, if R has finite CM-type, then this category is equivalent to the category $E\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$ of finitely generated left E-modules, where E is the endomorphism ring introduced above.

It turns out that $\mathsf{MCM}\text{-}\mathsf{mod}$ and $\mathsf{mod}\text{-}\mathsf{MCM}$, i.e. the categories of finitely presented left and right modules over MCM, are abelian with enough projectives. Thus one can naturally speak of the global dimensions of these categories; they are called the left and right global dimensions of MCM, and they are denoted $\operatorname{l.gldim}(\mathsf{MCM})$ and $\operatorname{r.gldim}(\mathsf{MCM})$. We show that there is an equality $\operatorname{l.gldim}(\mathsf{MCM}) = \operatorname{r.gldim}(\mathsf{MCM})$; this number is simply called the *global dimension of* MCM, and it is denoted by $\operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{MCM})$. Our first main result, Theorem 4.10, shows that there are inequalities,

$$(*) \qquad d \leqslant \operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{MCM}) \leqslant \max\{2,d\}\,,$$

and thus it extends Leuschke's theorem to the case of arbitrary CM-type. We prove the left inequality in $(*)$ by showing that MCM always admits a finitely presented module with projective dimension d. Actually, we show that if M is any Cohen–Macaulay R-module of dimension t, then $\operatorname{Ext}^{d-t}_R(M,-)$ is a finitely presented left MCM-module and $\operatorname{Hom}_R(-,M)$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D05, 16E10, 18G20.
Key words and phrases. Global dimension; maximal Cohen–Macaulay module; ring with several objects.

is a finitely presented right MCM-module both with projective dimension equal to $d-t$. Our second main result, Theorem 4.15, shows that if $d=0,1$, then the left inequality in $(*)$ is an equality if and only if R is regular, that is, there are equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{MCM})=0 &\iff R \text{ is a field.} \\ \operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{MCM})=1 &\iff R \text{ is a discrete valuation ring.} \end{aligned}$$

2. Preliminaries

2.1 Setup. Throughout, $(R,\mathfrak{m},k)$ is a commutative noetherian local Cohen–Macaulay ring with Krull dimension d. It is assumed that R has a dualizing (or canonical) module Ω.

The category of finitely generated projective R-modules is denoted proj; the category of maximal Cohen–Macaulay R-modules (defined below) is denoted MCM; and the category of all finitely generated R-modules is denoted mod.

The *depth* of a finitely generated R-module $M\neq 0$, denoted $\operatorname{depth}_R M$, is the supremum of the lengths of all M-regular sequences $x_1,\dots,x_n\in\mathfrak{m}$. This numerical invariant can be computed homologically as follows:

$$\operatorname{depth}_R M \;=\; \inf\{\, i\in\mathbb{Z} \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(k,M)\neq 0\,\}\,.$$

By definition, $\operatorname{depth}_R 0=\inf\emptyset=+\infty$. For a finitely generated R-module $M\neq 0$ one always has $\operatorname{depth}_R M\leqslant d$, and M is called *maximal Cohen–Macaulay* if equality holds. The zero module is also considered to be maximal Cohen–Macaulay; thus an arbitrary finitely generated R-module M is maximal Cohen–Macaulay if and only if $\operatorname{depth}_R M\geqslant d$.

2.2. It is well-known that the dualizing module Ω gives rise to a duality on the category of maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules; more precisely, there is an equivalence of categories:

$$\mathsf{MCM} \underset{\operatorname{Hom}_R(-,\Omega)}{\overset{\operatorname{Hom}_R(-,\Omega)}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{MCM}^{\mathrm{op}}\,.$$

We use the shorthand notation $(-)^\dagger$ for the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_R(-,\Omega)$. For any finitely generated R-module M there is a canonical homomorphism $\delta_M\colon M\to M^{\dagger\dagger}$, which is natural in M, and because of the equivalence above, δ_M is an isomorphism if M belongs to MCM.

We will need the following results about depth; they are folklore and easily proved[1].

2.3 Lemma. *Let $n\geqslant 0$ be an integer and let $0\to X_n\to\cdots\to X_0\to M\to 0$ be an exact sequence of finitely generated R-modules. If $X_0,\dots,X_n$ are maximal Cohen–Macaulay, then one has $\operatorname{depth}_R M\geqslant d-n$.* □

2.4 Lemma. *Let $m\geqslant 0$ be an integer and let $0\to K_m\to X_{m-1}\to\cdots\to X_0\to M\to 0$ be an exact sequence of finitely generated R-modules. If $X_0,\dots,X_{m-1}$ are maximal Cohen–Macaulay, then one has $\operatorname{depth}_R K_m\geqslant\min\{d,\operatorname{depth}_R M+m\}$. In particular, if $m\geqslant d$ then the R-module K_m is maximal Cohen–Macaulay.* □

We will also need a few notions from relative homological algebra.

[1] One way to prove Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 are by induction on n and m, using the behaviour of depth on short exact sequences recorded in Bruns and Herzog [4, Prop. 1.2.9].

2.5 Definition. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a full subcategory of a category $\mathcal{M}$. Following Enochs and Jenda [7, def. 5.1.1] we say that $\mathcal{A}$ is *precovering* (or *contravariantly finite*) in $\mathcal{M}$ if every $M \in \mathcal{M}$ has an *$\mathcal{A}$-precover* (or a *right $\mathcal{A}$-approximation*); that is, a morphism $\pi\colon A \to M$ with $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that every other morphism $\pi'\colon A' \to M$ with $A' \in \mathcal{A}$ factors through π, as illustrated by the following diagram:



The notion of *$\mathcal{A}$-preenvelopes* (or *left $\mathcal{A}$-approximations*) is categorically dual to the notion defined above. The subcategory $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be *preenveloping* (or *covariantly finite*) in $\mathcal{M}$ if every $M \in \mathcal{M}$ has an $\mathcal{A}$-preenvelope.

The following result is a consequence of Auslander and Buchweitz's maximal Cohen–Macaulay approximations.

2.6 Theorem. *Every finitely generated R-module has an* MCM*-precover.*

Proof. By [2, Thm. A] any finitely generated R-module M has a maximal Cohen–Macaulay approximation, that is, a short exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{\pi} M \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

where X is maximal Cohen–Macaulay and I has finite injective dimension. A classic result of Ischebeck [10] (see also [4, Exerc. 3.1.24]) shows that $\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(X', I) = 0$ for every X' in MCM, and hence $\operatorname{Hom}_R(X', \pi)\colon \operatorname{Hom}_R(X', X) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(X', M)$ is surjective. □

3. Rings with several objects

The classic references for the theory of rings with several objects are Freyd [8, 9] and Mitchell [12]. Below we recapitulate a few definitions and results that we need.

A ring A can be viewed as a preadditive category $\bar{A}$ with a single object $*$ whose endo hom-set $\operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{A}}(*,*)$ is A, and where composition is given by ring multiplication. The category $(\bar{A}, \mathsf{Ab})$ of additive covariant functors from $\bar{A}$ to the category Ab of abelian groups is naturally equivalent to the category A-Mod of left A-modules. Indeed, an additive functor $F\colon \bar{A} \to \mathsf{Ab}$ yields a left A-module whose underlying abelian group is $M = F(*)$ and where left A-multiplication is given by $am = F(a)(m)$ for $a \in A = \operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{A}}(*,*)$ and $m \in M = F(*)$. Note that the preadditive category associated to the opposite ring A^{o} of A is the opposite (or dual) category of $\bar{A}$; in symbols: $\overline{A^{\mathrm{o}}} = \bar{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$. It follows that the category $(\bar{A}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathsf{Ab})$ of additive covariant functors $\bar{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathsf{Ab}$ (which correspond to additive contravariant functors $\bar{A} \to \mathsf{Ab}$) is naturally equivalent to the category Mod-A of right A-modules.

These considerations justify the well-known viewpoint that any skeletally small preadditive category $\mathcal{A}$ may be thought of as a *ring with several objects*. A *left $\mathcal{A}$-module* is an additive covariant functor $\mathcal{A} \to \mathsf{Ab}$, and the category of all such is denoted by $\mathcal{A}$-Mod. Similarly, a *right $\mathcal{A}$-module* is an additive covariant functor $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathsf{Ab}$ (which corresponds to an additive contravariant functor $\mathcal{A} \to \mathsf{Ab}$), and the category of all such is denoted Mod-$\mathcal{A}$.

From this point, we assume for simplicity that $\mathcal{A}$ is a skeletally small *additive* category which is *closed under direct summands* (i.e. every idempotent splits). The category $\mathcal{A}$-Mod is a Grothendieck category, see [8, prop. 5.21], with enough projectives. In fact, it follows from Yoneda's lemma that the representable functors $\mathcal{A}(A, -)$, where A is in $\mathcal{A}$, constitute

a generating set of projective objects in $\mathcal{A}$-Mod. A left $\mathcal{A}$-module F is called *finitely generated*, respectively, *finitely presented* (or *coherent*), if there exists an exact sequence $\mathcal{A}(A,-) \to F \to 0$, respectively, $\mathcal{A}(B,-) \to \mathcal{A}(A,-) \to F \to 0$, for some $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$[2]. The category of finitely presented left $\mathcal{A}$-modules is denoted by $\mathcal{A}$-mod. The Yoneda functor,

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-Mod} \qquad \text{given by} \qquad A \longmapsto \mathcal{A}(A,-)\,,$$

is fully faithful, see [8, thm. 5.36]. Moreover, this functor identifies the objects in $\mathcal{A}$ with the finitely generated projective left $\mathcal{A}$-modules, that is, a finitely generated left $\mathcal{A}$-module is projective if and only if it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}(A,-)$ for some $A \in \mathcal{A}$; cf. [8, exerc. 5-G].

Here is a well-known, but important, example:

3.1 Example. Let A be any ring and let $\mathcal{A} = A$-proj be the category of all finitely generated projective left A-modules. In this case, the category $\mathcal{A}$-mod $= (A$-proj$)$-mod is equivalent to the category mod-A of finitely presented right A-modules. Let us explain why:

Let F be a left $(A$-proj$)$-module, that is, an additive covariant functor $F\colon A\text{-proj} \to \mathsf{Ab}$. For $a \in A$ the homothety map $\chi_a\colon A \to A$ given by $b \mapsto ba$ is left A-linear and so it induces an endomorphism $F(\chi_a)$ of the abelian group $F(A)$. Thus $F(A)$ has a natural structure of a right A-module given by $xa = F(\chi_a)(x)$ for $a \in A$ and $x \in F(A)$. This right A-module is denoted $\mathsf{e}(F)$, and we get a functor e, called *evaluation*, displayed in the diagram below. The other functor f in the diagram, called *functorfication*, is given by $\mathsf{f}(M) = M \otimes_A -$ (restricted to A-proj) for a right A-module M.

$$(A\text{-proj})\text{-Mod} \underset{\mathsf{f}}{\overset{\mathsf{e}}{\rightleftarrows}} \text{Mod-}A$$

The functors e and f yield an equivalence of categories: For every right A-module M there is obviously an isomorphism $(\mathsf{e}\circ\mathsf{f})(M) = M \otimes_A A \cong M$. We must also show that every left $(A$-proj$)$-module F is isomorphic to $(\mathsf{f}\circ\mathsf{e})(F) = F(A) \otimes_A -$. For every $P \in A$-proj and $y \in P$ the left A-linear map $\mu_P^y\colon A \to P$ given by $a \mapsto ay$ induces a group homomorphism $F(\mu_P^y)\colon F(A) \to F(P)$, and thus one has a group homomorphism $\tau_P\colon F(A) \otimes_A P \to F(P)$ given by $x \otimes y \mapsto F(\mu_P^y)(x)$. It is straightforward to verify that τ is a natural transformation. To prove that τ_P is an isomorphism for every $P \in A$-proj it suffices, since the functors $F(A) \otimes_A -$ and F are both additive, to check that $\tau_A\colon F(A) \otimes_A A \to F(A)$ is an isomorphism. However, this is evident.

It is not hard to verify that the functors e and f restrict to an equivalence between finitely presented objects, as claimed.

3.2 Observation. Example 3.1 shows that for any ring A, the category $(A$-proj$)$-mod is equivalent to mod-A. Since there is an equivalence of categories,

$$A\text{-proj} \underset{\mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathrm{o}}}(-,A)}{\overset{\mathrm{Hom}_A(-,A)}{\rightleftarrows}} (\text{proj-}A)^{\mathrm{op}}\,,$$

it follows[3] that $(A$-proj$)$-mod is further equivalent to $((\text{proj-}A)^{\mathrm{op}})$-mod, which is the same as mod-$(\text{proj-}A)$. In conclusion, there are equivalences of categories:

$$(A\text{-proj})\text{-mod} \simeq \text{mod-}A \simeq \text{mod-}(\text{proj-}A)\,.$$

Of course, by applying this to the opposite ring A^{o} one obtains equivalences:

$$(\text{proj-}A)\text{-mod} \simeq A\text{-mod} \simeq \text{mod-}(A\text{-proj})\,.$$

[2] If the category $\mathcal{A}$ is only assumed to be preadditive, then one would have to modify the definitions of finitely generated/presented accordingly. For example, in this case, a left $\mathcal{A}$-module F is called finitely generated if there is an exact sequence of the form $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}(A_i,-) \to F \to 0$ for some $A_1,\dots,A_n \in \mathcal{A}$.

[3] Cf. the proof of Proposition 4.4.

In generel, the category $\mathcal{A}$-mod of finitely presented left $\mathcal{A}$-modules is an additive category with cokernels, but it is not necessarily an abelian subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$-Mod. A classic result of Freyd describes the categories $\mathcal{A}$ for which $\mathcal{A}$-mod is abelian. This result is stated in Theorem 3.4 below, but first we explain some terminology.

A *pseudo-kernel* (also called a *weak kernel*) of a morphism $\beta\colon B \to C$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is a morphism $\alpha\colon A \to B$ such that the sequence

$$\mathcal{A}(-,A) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(-,\alpha)} \mathcal{A}(-,B) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(-,\beta)} \mathcal{A}(-,C)$$

is exact in Mod-$\mathcal{A}$. Equivalently, one has $\beta\alpha = 0$ and for every morphism $\alpha'\colon A' \to B$ with $\beta\alpha' = 0$ there is a (not necessarily unique!) morphism $\theta\colon A' \to A$ with $\alpha\theta = \alpha'$.



We say that $\mathcal{A}$ *has pseudo-kernels* is every morphism in $\mathcal{A}$ has a pseudo-kernel.

Pseudo-cokernels (also called *weak cokernels*) are defined dually.

3.3 Observation. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a full subcategory of an abelian category $\mathcal{M}$.

If $\mathcal{A}$ is precovering in $\mathcal{M}$, see Definition 2.5, then $\mathcal{A}$ has pseudo-kernels. Indeed, given a morphism $\beta\colon B \to C$ in $\mathcal{A}$ it has a kernel $\iota\colon M \to B$ in the abelian category $\mathcal{M}$; and it is easily verified that if $\pi\colon A \to M$ is any $\mathcal{A}$-precover of M, then $\alpha = \iota\pi\colon A \to B$ is a pseudo-kernel in $\mathcal{A}$ of β.

A similar argument shows that if $\mathcal{A}$ is preenveloping in $\mathcal{M}$, then $\mathcal{A}$ has pseudo-cokernels.

3.4 Theorem. *The category* mod-$\mathcal{A}$ *(respectively,* $\mathcal{A}$-mod*) of finitely presented right (respectively, left)* $\mathcal{A}$*-modules is an abelian subcategory of* Mod-$\mathcal{A}$ *(respectively,* $\mathcal{A}$-Mod*) if and only if* $\mathcal{A}$ *has pseudo-kernels (respectively, has pseudo-cokernels).*

Proof. See Freyd [9, thm. 1.4] or Auslander and Reiten [3, prop. 1.3]. □

3.5 Example. Let A be a left and right noetherian ring. As A is left noetherian, the category $\mathcal{M} = A$-mod of finitely presented left A-modules is abelian, and evidently $\mathcal{A} = A$-proj is precovering herein. As A is right noetherian, A-proj is also preenveloping in A-mod; cf. [7, Exa. 8.3.10]. It follows from Observation 3.3 that A-proj has both pseudo-kernels and pseudo-cokernels, and therefore the categories mod-$(A$-proj$)$ and $(A$-proj$)$-mod are abelian by Theorem 3.4. Of course, this also follows directly from Observation 3.2 which shows that mod-$(A$-proj$)$ and $(A$-proj$)$-mod are equivalent to A-mod and mod-A, respectively.

Note that if $\mathcal{A}$-mod is abelian, i.e. if $\mathcal{A}$ has pseudo-cokernels, then every finitely presented left $\mathcal{A}$-module F admits a projective resolution in $\mathcal{A}$-mod, that is, an exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(A_1,-) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(A_0,-) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0$$

where $A_0, A_1, \ldots$ belong to $\mathcal{A}$. Thus one can naturally speak of the *projective dimension* of F (i.e. the length, possibly infinite, of the shortest projective resolution of F in $\mathcal{A}$-mod) and of the *global dimension* of the category $\mathcal{A}$-mod (i.e. the supremum of projective dimensions of all objects in $\mathcal{A}$-mod).

3.6 Definition. In the case where the category $\mathcal{A}$-mod (respectively, mod-$\mathcal{A}$) is abelian, then its global dimension is called the *left* (respectively, *right*) *global dimension of* $\mathcal{A}$, and it is denoted $\operatorname{l.gldim}\mathcal{A}$ (respectively, $\operatorname{r.gldim}\mathcal{A}$).

Note that $\operatorname{l.gldim}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}})$ is the same as $\operatorname{r.gldim}\mathcal{A}$ (when these numbers make sense).

3.7 Example. Let A be a left and right noetherian ring whose global dimension[4] we denote $\operatorname{gldim} A$. Recall that $\operatorname{gldim} A$ can be computed as the supremum of projective dimensions of all *finitely generated* (left or right) A-modules. It follows from Observation 3.2 that

$$\operatorname{l.gldim}(A\text{-proj}) = \operatorname{gldim} A = \operatorname{r.gldim}(A\text{-proj}) .$$

4. The global dimension of the category MCM

We are now in a position to prove the results announced in the Introduction.

4.1 Example. Suppose that R has finite CM-type and let X be any representation generator of the category MCM, cf. Section 1. This means that $\mathsf{MCM} = \mathsf{add}_R X$ where $\mathsf{add}_R X$ denotes the category of direct summands of finite direct sums of copies of X. Write $E = \operatorname{End}_R(X)$ for the endomorphism ring of X; this R-algebra is often referred to as the *Auslander algebra*. Note that X has a canonical structure as a left-R–left-E–bimodule ${}_{R,E}X$. It is easily verified that there is an equivalence, known as Auslander's *projectivization*, given by:

$$\mathsf{MCM} = \mathsf{add}_R X \underset{-\otimes_E X}{\overset{\operatorname{Hom}_R(X,-)}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{proj}\text{-}E .$$

It now follows from Observation 3.2 that there are equivalences of categories:

$$\mathsf{MCM}\text{-}\mathsf{mod} \simeq (\mathsf{proj}\text{-}E)\text{-}\mathsf{mod} \simeq E\text{-}\mathsf{mod} .$$

Similarly, there is an equivalence of categories: $\mathsf{mod}\text{-}\mathsf{MCM} \simeq \mathsf{mod}\text{-}E$.

4.2 Proposition. *The category* MCM *has pseudo-kernels and pseudo-cokernels.*

Proof. As MCM is precovering in the abelian category mod, see Theorem 2.6, we get from Observation 3.3 that MCM has pseudo-kernels. To prove that MCM has pseudo-cokernels, let $\alpha\colon X \to Y$ be any homomorphism between maximal Cohen–Macaulay R-modules. With the notation from 2.2 we let $\iota\colon Z \to Y^\dagger$ be a pseudo-kernel in MCM of $\alpha^\dagger\colon Y^\dagger \to X^\dagger$. We claim that $\iota^\dagger\delta_Y\colon Y \to Z^\dagger$ is a pseudo-cokernel of α, i.e. that the sequence

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(Z^\dagger, U) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_R(\iota^\dagger\delta_Y, U)} \operatorname{Hom}_R(Y, U) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_R(\alpha, U)} \operatorname{Hom}_R(X, U) \tag{1}$$

is exact for every $U \in \mathsf{MCM}$. From the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Y & \xrightarrow{\iota^\dagger\delta_Y} & Z^\dagger \\ {\scriptstyle\cong}\downarrow{\scriptstyle\delta_X} & & {\scriptstyle\cong}\downarrow{\scriptstyle\delta_Y} & & \| \\ X^{\dagger\dagger} & \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\dagger\dagger}} & Y^{\dagger\dagger} & \xrightarrow{\iota^\dagger} & Z^\dagger \end{array}$$

it follows that the sequence (1) is isomorphic to

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(Z^\dagger, U) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_R(\iota^\dagger, U)} \operatorname{Hom}_R(Y^{\dagger\dagger}, U) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_R(\alpha^{\dagger\dagger}, U)} \operatorname{Hom}_R(X^{\dagger\dagger}, U) . \tag{2}$$

[4] Recall that for a ring which is both left and right noetherian, the left and right global dimensions are equal; indeed, they both coincide with the weak global dimension.

Recall from 2.2 that there is an isomorphism $U \cong U^{\dagger\dagger}$. From this fact and from the "swap" isomorphism [6, (A.2.9)], it follows that the sequence (2) is isomorphic to

$$\text{(3)} \qquad \operatorname{Hom}_R(U^\dagger, Z^{\dagger\dagger}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_R(U^\dagger, \iota^{\dagger\dagger})} \operatorname{Hom}_R(U^\dagger, Y^{\dagger\dagger\dagger}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_R(U^\dagger, \alpha^{\dagger\dagger\dagger})} \operatorname{Hom}_R(U^\dagger, X^{\dagger\dagger\dagger}) \ .$$

Finally, the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\iota} & Y^\dagger & \xrightarrow{\alpha^\dagger} & X^\dagger \\ \cong\downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_Z} & & \cong\downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_{Y^\dagger}} & & \cong\downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_{X^\dagger}} \\ Z^{\dagger\dagger} & \xrightarrow{\iota^{\dagger\dagger}} & Y^{\dagger\dagger\dagger} & \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\dagger\dagger\dagger}} & X^{\dagger\dagger\dagger} \end{array}$$

shows that the sequence (3) is isomorphic to

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(U^\dagger, Z) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_R(U^\dagger, \iota)} \operatorname{Hom}_R(U^\dagger, Y^\dagger) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_R(U^\dagger, \alpha^\dagger)} \operatorname{Hom}_R(U^\dagger, X^\dagger) \ ,$$

which is exact since $\iota\colon Z \to Y^\dagger$ is a pseudo-kernel of $\alpha^\dagger\colon Y^\dagger \to X^\dagger$. □

We shall find the following notation useful.

4.3 Definition. For an R-module M, we use the notation $(M,-)$ for the left MCM-module $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,-)|_{\mathsf{MCM}}$, and $(-,M)$ for the right MCM-module $\operatorname{Hom}_R(-,M)|_{\mathsf{MCM}}$.

Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 4.2 shows that MCM-mod and mod-MCM are abelian, and hence the left and right global dimensions of the category MCM are both well-defined; see Definition 3.6. In fact, they are equal:

4.4 Proposition. *The left and right global dimensions of* MCM *coincide, that is,*

$$\operatorname{l.gldim}(\mathsf{MCM}) = \operatorname{r.gldim}(\mathsf{MCM}) \ .$$

This number is called the global dimension of MCM*, and it is denoted* $\operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{MCM})$. □

Proof. The equivalence in 2.2 induces an equivalence between the abelian categories of (all) left and right MCM-modules given by:

$$\text{(4)} \qquad \mathsf{MCM}\text{-Mod} \underset{G\circ(-)^\dagger \leftarrow\!\shortmid G}{\overset{F \mapsto F\circ(-)^\dagger}{\rightleftarrows}} \text{Mod-}\mathsf{MCM} \ .$$

These functors preserve finitely generated projective modules. Indeed, if $P = (X,-)$ with $X \in \mathsf{MCM}$ is a finitely generated projective left MCM-module, then the right MCM-module $P\circ(-)^\dagger = (X,(-)^\dagger)$ is isomorphic to $(-,X^\dagger)$, which is finitely generated projective. Similarly, if $Q = (-,Y)$ with $Y \in \mathsf{MCM}$ is a finitely generated projective right MCM-module, then $Q\circ(-)^\dagger = ((-)^\dagger, Y)$ is isomorphic to $(Y^\dagger,-)$, which is finitely generated projective.

Since the functors in (4) are exact and preserve finitely generated projective modules, they restrict to an equivalence between finitely presented objects, that is, MCM-mod and mod-MCM are equivalent. It follows that MCM-mod and mod-MCM have the same global dimension, i.e. the left and right global dimensions of MCM coincide. □

We begin our study of $\operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{MCM})$ with a couple of easy examples.

4.5 Example. If R is regular, in which case the global dimension of R is equal to d, then one has $\mathsf{MCM} = \mathsf{proj}$, and it follows from Example 3.7 that $\operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{MCM}) = d$.

4.6 Example. Assume that R has finite CM-type and denote the Auslander algebra by E. It follows from Example 4.1 that $\operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{MCM}) = \operatorname{gldim} E$.

We turn our attention to projective dimensions of representable right MCM-modules.

4.7 Proposition. *Let M be a finitely generated R-module. Then $(-,M)$ is a finitely presented right MCM-module with projective dimension equal to $d - \operatorname{depth}_R M$.*

Proof. First we argue that $(-,M)$ is finitely presented. By Theorem 2.6 there is an MCM-precover $\pi\colon X \to M$, which by definition yields an epimorphism $(-,\pi)\colon (-,X) \twoheadrightarrow (-,M)$. Hence $(-,M)$ is finitely generated. As the Hom functor is left exact, the kernel of $(-,\pi)$ is the functor $(-,\operatorname{Ker}\pi)$. Since $\operatorname{Ker}\pi$ is a finitely generated R-module, the argument above shows that $(-,\operatorname{Ker}\pi)$ is finitely generated, and therefore $(-,M)$ is finitely presented.

If $M = 0$, then $(-,M)$ is the zero functor which has projective dimension $d - \operatorname{depth}_R M = -\infty$. Thus we can assume that M is non-zero such that $m := d - \operatorname{depth}_R M$ is an integer. By successively taking MCM-precovers, whose existence is guaranteed by Theorem 2.6, we construct an exact sequence of R-modules, $0 \to K_m \to X_{m-1} \to \cdots \to X_1 \to X_0 \to M \to 0$, where $X_0,\dots,X_{m-1}$ are maximal Cohen–Macaulay and $K_m = \operatorname{Ker}(X_{m-1} \to X_{m-2})$, such that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (-,K_m) \longrightarrow (-,X_{m-1}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow (-,X_1) \longrightarrow (-,X_0) \longrightarrow (-,M) \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\mathsf{mod}\text{-}\mathsf{MCM}$ is exact. Lemma 2.4 show that $\operatorname{depth}_R K_m \geqslant \min\{d, \operatorname{depth}_R M + m\} = d$, and hence K_m is maximal Cohen–Macaulay. Thus exactness of the sequence displayed above shows that the projective dimension of $(-,M)$ is $\leqslant m$.

To prove that the projective dimension of $(-,M)$ is $\geqslant m$, we must show that if

$$0 \longrightarrow (-,Y_n) \xrightarrow{\tau_n} \cdots \longrightarrow (-,Y_1) \xrightarrow{\tau_1} (-,Y_0) \xrightarrow{\tau_0} (-,M) \longrightarrow 0$$

is any exact sequence in $\mathsf{mod}\text{-}\mathsf{MCM}$, where $Y_0,\dots,Y_n$ are maximal Cohen–Macaulay, then $n \geqslant m$. By Yoneda's lemma, each τ_i has the form $\tau_i = (-,\beta_i)$ for some homomorphism $\beta_i\colon Y_i \to Y_{i-1}$ when $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ and $\beta_0\colon Y_0 \to M$. By evaluating the sequence on the maximal Cohen–Macaulay module R, it follows that the sequence of R-modules,

$$0 \longrightarrow Y_n \xrightarrow{\beta_n} \cdots \longrightarrow Y_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} Y_0 \xrightarrow{\beta_0} M \longrightarrow 0\ ,$$

is exact. Thus Lemma 2.3 yields $\operatorname{depth}_R M \geqslant d - n$, that is, $n \geqslant m$. □

In contrast to what is the case for representable right MCM-modules, representable left MCM-modules are "often" zero. For example, if $d > 0$ then $\operatorname{Hom}_R(k,X) = 0$ for every maximal Cohen–Macaulay R-module X, and hence $(k,-)$ is the zero functor. In particular, the projective dimension of a representable left MCM-module is typically not very interesting. Proposition 4.9 below gives concrete examples of finitely presented left MCM-modules that do have interesting projective dimension.

4.8 Lemma. *For every Cohen–Macaulay R-module M of dimension t there is the following natural isomorphism of functors $\mathsf{MCM} \to \mathsf{Ab}$,*

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R((-)^\dagger, \operatorname{Ext}^{d-t}_R(M,\Omega)) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{d-t}_R(M,-)\ .$$

Proof. Since M is Cohen–Macaulay of dimension t one has $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,\Omega) = 0$ for $i \neq d-t$; see [4, Thm. 3.3.10]. Thus there is an isomorphism in the derived category of R,

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{d-t}_R(M,\Omega) \cong \Sigma^{d-t}\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,\Omega)\ .$$

In particular, there is an isomorphism $X^\dagger = \operatorname{Hom}_R(X,\Omega) \cong \mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(X,\Omega)$ for $X \in \mathsf{MCM}$. This explains the first isomorphism below. The second isomorphism is trivial, the third one is by "swap" [6, (A.4.22)], and the fourth one follows as Ω is a dualizing R-module.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(X^\dagger, \operatorname{Ext}^{d-t}_R(M,\Omega)) &\cong \mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(X,\Omega), \Sigma^{d-t}\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,\Omega)) \\ &\cong \Sigma^{d-t}\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(X,\Omega), \mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,\Omega)) \\ &\cong \Sigma^{d-t}\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, \mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(X,\Omega),\Omega)) \\ &\cong \Sigma^{d-t}\mathbf{R}\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,X)\ .\end{aligned}$$

The assertion now follows by taking the zero'th homology group H_0. □

4.9 Proposition. *If M is any Cohen–Macaulay R-module of dimension t, then the functor $\operatorname{Ext}^{d-t}_R(M,-)|_{\mathsf{MCM}}$ is a finitely presented left MCM-module with projective dimension equal to $d-t$.*

Proof. As M is Cohen–Macaulay of dimension t, so is $\operatorname{Ext}^{d-t}_R(M,\Omega)$; see [4, Thm. 3.3.10]. Proposition 4.7 shows that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(-,\operatorname{Ext}^{d-t}_R(M,\Omega))|_{\mathsf{MCM}}$ is a finitely presented right MCM-module with projective dimension equal to $d-t$. The proof of Prop. 4.4 now gives that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R((-)^\dagger, \operatorname{Ext}^{d-t}_R(M,\Omega))|_{\mathsf{MCM}}$$

is a finitely presented left MCM-module with projective dimension $d-t$, and Lemma 4.8 finishes the proof. □

4.10 Theorem. *The category MCM has finite global dimension. In fact, one has*

$$d \leqslant \operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{MCM}) \leqslant \max\{2,d\}\ .$$

In particular, if $d \geqslant 2$ then there is an equality $\operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{MCM}) = d$.

Proof. The residue field k of R is a finitely generated R-module with depth 0. Thus Proposition 4.7 shows that $(-,k)$ is finitely presented right MCM-module with projective dimension d. Consequently, we must have $d \leqslant \operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{MCM})$.

To prove the other inequality, set $m = \max\{2,d\}$ and let G be any finitely presented right MCM-module. Take any exact sequence in $\mathsf{mod}\text{-}\mathsf{MCM}$,

$$(-,X_{m-1}) \xrightarrow{\tau_{m-1}} \cdots \longrightarrow (-,X_1) \xrightarrow{\tau_1} (-,X_0) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} G \longrightarrow 0\ , \tag{5}$$

where $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{m-1}$ are in MCM. Note that since $m \geqslant 2$ there is at least one "τ" in this sequence. By Yoneda's lemma, every τ_i has the form $\tau_i = (-,\alpha_i)$ for some homomorphism $\alpha_i\colon X_i \to X_{i-1}$. By evaluating (5) on R, we get an exact sequence of R-modules:

$$X_{m-1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{m-1}} \cdots \longrightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} X_0\ .$$

Since $m \geqslant d$ it follows from Lemma 2.4 that the module $X_m = \operatorname{Ker}\alpha_{m-1}$ is maximal Cohen–Macaulay. As the Hom functor is left exact, we see that $0 \to (-,X_m) \to (-,X_{m-1})$ is exact. This sequence, together with (5), shows that G has projective dimension $\leqslant m$. □

In view of Example 4.6 and Theorem 4.10, we immediate get the following result due to Leuschke [11, Thm. 6].

4.11 Corollary. *Assume that R has finite CM-type and let X be any representation generator of MCM with Auslander algebra $E = \operatorname{End}_R(X)$. There are inequalities,*

$$d \leqslant \operatorname{gldim} E \leqslant \max\{2,d\}\ .$$

In particular, if $d \geqslant 2$ then there is an equality $\operatorname{gldim} E = d$. □

4.12 Example. If $d = 0$ then $\mathsf{MCM} = \mathsf{mod}$ and hence $\operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{MCM}) = \operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{mod})$. Since mod is abelian, it is a well-known result of Auslander [1] that the latter number must be either 0 or 2 (surprisingly, it can not be 1). Thus one of the inequalities in Theorem 4.10 is actually an equality. If, for example, $R = k[x]/(x^2)$ where k is a field, then $\operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{mod}) = 2$.

4.13 Example. If $d = 1$ then Theorem 4.10 shows that $\operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{MCM}) = 1, 2$. The 1-dimensional Cohen–Macaulay ring $R = k[\![x,y]\!]/(x^2)$ does not have finite CM-type[5], and since it is not regular, it follows from Theorem 4.15 below that $\operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{MCM}) = 2$.

Recall that in any abelian category with enough projectives (such as $\mathsf{mod}\text{-}\mathcal{A}$ in the case where $\mathcal{A}$ has pseudo-kernels) one can well-define and compute Ext in the usual way.

4.14 Lemma. *Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is precovering in an abelian category $\mathcal{M}$ (in which case the category $\mathsf{mod}\text{-}\mathcal{A}$ is abelian by Observation 3.3 and Theorem 3.4). Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow A' \xrightarrow{\alpha'} A \xrightarrow{\alpha} A''$$

be an exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$ where A, A', A'' belong to $\mathcal{A}$. Consider the finitely presented right $\mathcal{A}$-module $G = \operatorname{Coker}\mathcal{A}(-,\alpha)$, that is, G is defined by exactness of the sequence

$$\mathcal{A}(-,A) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(-,\alpha)} \mathcal{A}(-,A'') \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 0 \ .$$

For any finitely presented right $\mathcal{A}$-module H there is an isomorphism of abelian groups,

$$\operatorname{Ext}^2_{\mathsf{mod}\text{-}\mathcal{A}}(G,H) \cong \operatorname{Coker} H(\alpha') \ .$$

Proof. By the definition of G and left exactness of the Hom functor, the chain complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(-,A') \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(-,\alpha')} \mathcal{A}(-,A) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(-,\alpha)} \mathcal{A}(-,A'') \longrightarrow 0 \ , \tag{6}$$

is a non-augmented projective resolution in $\mathsf{mod}\text{-}\mathcal{A}$ of G. To compute $\operatorname{Ext}^2_{\mathsf{mod}\text{-}\mathcal{A}}(G,H)$ we must first apply the functor $(\mathsf{mod}\text{-}\mathcal{A})(?,H)$ to (6) and then take the second cohomology group of the resulting cochain complex. By Yoneda's lemma there is a natural isomorphism

$$(\mathsf{mod}\text{-}\mathcal{A})(\mathcal{A}(-,B),H) \cong H(B)$$

for any $B \in \mathcal{A}$; hence application of $(\mathsf{mod}\text{-}\mathcal{A})(?,H)$ to (6) yields the cochain complex

$$0 \longrightarrow H(A'') \xrightarrow{H(\alpha)} H(A) \xrightarrow{H(\alpha')} H(A') \longrightarrow 0 \ .$$

The second cohomology group of this cochain complex is $\operatorname{Coker} H(\alpha')$. □

Recall that a commutative ring is called a *discrete valuation ring (DVR)* if it is a principal ideal domain with exactly one non-zero maximal ideal. There are of course many other equivalent characterizations of such rings.

4.15 Theorem. *If $\operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{MCM}) \leqslant 1$, then R is regular. In particular, one has*

$$\operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{MCM}) = 0 \iff \textit{R is a field.}$$
$$\operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{MCM}) = 1 \iff \textit{R is a discrete valuation ring.}$$

[5] See Buchweitz, Greuel, and Schreyer [5, Prop. 4.1] for a complete list of the indecomposable maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules over this ring.

Proof. Assume that $\operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{MCM}) \leqslant 1$. Let X be any maximal Cohen–Macaulay R-module and let $\pi\colon L \twoheadrightarrow X$ be an epimorphism where L is finitely generated and free. Note that $Y = \operatorname{Ker}\pi$ is also maximal Cohen–Macaulay by Lemma 2.4, so we have an exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow Y \xrightarrow{\iota} L \xrightarrow{\pi} X \longrightarrow 0\,,$$

of maximal Cohen–Macaulay R-modules. With $G = \operatorname{Coker}(-,\pi)$ and $H = (-,Y)$ we have

$$\operatorname{Coker}(\iota, Y) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^2_{\mathsf{mod}\text{-}\mathsf{MCM}}(G,H) \cong 0\,;$$

here the first isomorphism comes from Lemma 4.14, and the second isomorphism follows from the assumption that $\operatorname{gldim}(\mathsf{MCM}) \leqslant 1$. Hence the homomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(L,Y) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_R(\iota,Y)} \operatorname{Hom}_R(Y,Y)$$

is surjective. Thus ι has a left inverse and X becomes a direct summand of the free module L. Therefore every maximal Cohen–Macaulay R-module is projective, so R is regular.

The displayed equivalences now follows in view of Example 4.5 and the fact that a regular local ring has Krull dimension 0, respectively, 1, if and only if it is a field, respectively, a discrete valuation ring. □

As as corollary, we get the following addendum to Leuschke's theorem (see 4.11).

4.16 Corollary. *Assume that R has finite CM-type and let X be any representation generator of* MCM *with Auslander algebra $E = \operatorname{End}_R(X)$. If* $\operatorname{gldim} E \leqslant 1$*, then R is regular.* □

REFERENCES

[1] Maurice Auslander, *Coherent functors*, Proc. Conf. Categorical Algebra (La Jolla, Calif., 1965), Springer, New York, 1966, pp. 189–231. MR0212070

[2] Maurice Auslander and Ragnar-Olaf Buchweitz, *The homological theory of maximal Cohen-Macaulay approximations*, Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.) (1989), no. 38, 5–37, Colloque en l'honneur de Pierre Samuel (Orsay, 1987). MR1044344

[3] Maurice Auslander and Idun Reiten, *Grothendieck groups of algebras and orders*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **39** (1986), no. 1-2, 1–51. 816889 (87g:16038)

[4] Winfried Bruns and Jürgen Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. MR1251956

[5] Ragnar-Olaf Buchweitz, Gert-Martin Greuel, and Frank-Olaf Schreyer, *Cohen-Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities II*, Invent. Math. **88** (1987), no. 1, 165–182. MR0877011

[6] Lars Winther Christensen, *Gorenstein dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1747, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000. MR1799866

[7] Edgar E. Enochs and Overtoun M. G. Jenda, *Relative homological algebra*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, vol. 30, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2000. MR1753146

[8] Peter Freyd, *Abelian categories. An introduction to the theory of functors*, Harper's Series in Modern Mathematics, Harper & Row Publishers, New York, 1964. 0166240 (29 #3517)

[9] ———, *Representations in abelian categories*, Proc. Conf. Categorical Algebra (La Jolla, Calif., 1965), Springer, New York, 1966, pp. 95–120. 0209333 (35 #231)

[10] Friedrich Ischebeck, *Eine Dualität zwischen den Funktoren Ext und Tor*, J. Algebra **11** (1969), 510–531. MR0237613

[11] Graham J. Leuschke, *Endomorphism rings of finite global dimension*, Canad. J. Math. **59** (2007), no. 2, 332–342. MR2310620

[12] Barry Mitchell, *Rings with several objects*, Advances in Math. **8** (1972), 1–161. 0294454 (45 #3524)

UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN, 2100 COPENHAGEN Ø, DENMARK
E-mail address: holm@math.ku.dk
URL: http://www.math.ku.dk/~holm/